

AN INVERSE SYSTEM OF NONEMPTY OBJECTS WITH EMPTY LIMIT

SATYA DEO AND VEERENDRA VIKRAM AWASTHI

ABSTRACT. In this article we give an explicit example of an inverse system with nonempty sets and onto bonding maps such that its inverse limit is empty.

1. INTRODUCTION

It has been often quoted, without giving an example, that there are inverse systems in which all the objects are nonempty and the bonding maps are onto, but the inverse limit of the inverse system is empty. (see e.g.[3], Dugundji [1] pg 427, last para). It is hard to believe that there should be an example like this, but the fact is that there exists such an example. The original paper by L. Henkin [2] dealing with this problem gives a theorem which implies that there are several examples, but the explanation and proof of the theorem is too abstract to have a clear idea of a specific example.

In this note, we present a concrete example of an inverse system of sets and maps having the stated property, which can be easily understood. It will then easily follow that we can have an example of such an inverse system in any category admitting arbitrary products, e.g., in the category of modules and homomorphisms, category of topological spaces and continuous maps, etc.

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

First, let us have the following well known definitions (see [1]):

Definition 2.1. A binary relation R in a set A is called a **preorder** if it is reflexive and transitive. A set together with a definite preorder is called a **preordered set**.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18G05, 18B05 and 16B50 .

Key words and phrases. Inverse system, Inverse limit, Ordinal numbers.

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a preordered set and $\{X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be a family of topological spaces indexed by $\mathcal{A}$. For each pair of indices α, β satisfying $\alpha < \beta$, assume that there is a given a continuous maps $f_\alpha^\beta : X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$ and that these maps satisfy the following condition: If $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$, then $f_\alpha^\gamma = f_\alpha^\beta \circ f_\beta^\gamma$. Then the family $\{X_\alpha; f_\alpha^\beta\}$ is called an **inverse system** over $\mathcal{A}$ with topological spaces X_α and bonding continuous maps f_α^β .

Definition 2.3. Let $X = \{X_\alpha, f_\alpha^\beta; \alpha \leq \beta\}_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}}$ be an inverse system of topological spaces and continuous map $f_\alpha^\beta : X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \leq \beta$ based on indexing set $\mathcal{A}$. Consider the product space $\prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} X_\alpha$, and let $p_\alpha : \prod X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$ denotes the projection map. Define

$$X' = \{x \in \prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} X_\alpha \mid \text{whenever } \alpha \leq \beta, f_\alpha^\beta p_\beta(x) = p_\alpha(x)\}.$$

Then the set X' with subspace topology is called the **inverse limit** of the inverse system X .

Remark 2.1. We have defined above an inverse system and inverse limit in the category of topological spaces. Clearly, this definition of an inverse system can be made in any category. However, the inverse limit of an inverse system will exist only in those categories which admit arbitrary products.

Ordinal Numbers : For definition and well known special properties of ordinal numbers we refer to Dugundji [1].

The successor x^+ of a set x is defined as $x \cup \{x\}$, and then ω was constructed as the smallest set that contains 0 and that contains x^+ whenever it contains x . Now, the question arises that what happens if we start with ω , form its successor ω^+ , then form the successor of that, and proceed so on. In other words, is there something out beyond $\omega, \omega^+, (\omega^+)^+, \dots$, etc., in the same sense in which ω is beyond $0, 1, 2, \dots$, etc.?

We mention the names of some of the first few of them. After $0, 1, 2, \dots$ comes ω , and after $\omega, \omega + 1, \omega + 2, \dots$ comes 2ω . After $2\omega + 1$ (that is, the successor of 2ω) comes $2\omega + 2$, and then $2\omega + 3$; next after all the terms of the sequence so begun comes 3ω . At this point another application of axiom of substitution is required. Next comes $3\omega + 1, 3\omega + 2, 3\omega + 3, \dots$, and after them comes 4ω . In this way we get successively $\omega, 2\omega, 3\omega, 4\omega, \dots$. An application of axiom of substitution yields something that follows them all in the same sense in which ω follows the natural numbers: that something is ω^2 . After the whole

thing starts over again : $\omega^2 + 1, \omega^2 + 2, \omega^2 + 3, \omega^2 + \omega, \omega^2 + \omega + 1, \omega^2 + \omega + 2, \dots, \omega^2 + 2\omega, \omega^2 + 2\omega + 1, \omega^2 + 2\omega + 2, \dots, \omega^2 + 3\omega, \dots, \omega^2 + 4\omega, 2\omega^2, \dots, 3\omega^2, \dots, \omega^3, \dots, \omega^4, \dots, \omega^\omega, \dots, \omega^{(\omega^\omega)}, \dots$.

Since the countable union of countable sets is again countable, each of the above numbers is countable. Therefore, using the well-ordered property of ordinals there exists a smallest ordinal number ω_1 which contains all of the above numbers and is itself uncountable. We call ω_1 as the first uncountable ordinal number.

3. THE EXAMPLE

Let $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega, \omega + 1, \dots, 2\omega, 2\omega + 1, \dots, \omega^2, \omega^2 + 1, \dots\}$ be the set of ordinal numbers and ω_1 be the first uncountable ordinal. Consider the set $\Omega = [0, \omega_1)$ of all ordinals less than ω_1 . We will construct an inverse mapping system $\{X_\alpha, f_\alpha^\beta; \alpha \leq \beta\}$ based on the directed set Ω in which all the sets X_α will be nonempty, the bonding maps $f_\alpha^\beta : X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \leq \beta$, will be onto, but $\varprojlim X_\alpha = \phi$.

Let us define a **point** to mean a finite sequence of an even number of elements from Ω , e.g.,

$$x = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2n-1}, \alpha_{2n}),$$

which satisfy the following three conditions:

- (i) $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$
- (ii) $\alpha_{2i-1} < \alpha_{2i+2}$ for $0 < i < n$, i.e., $\alpha_1 < \alpha_4$, $\alpha_3 < \alpha_6$, $\alpha_5 < \alpha_8, \dots$
- (iii) $\alpha_{2i+1} < \alpha_{2i+2}$ and $\alpha_{2i+1} \not< \alpha_{2j+1}$ for $0 \leq j < i < n$,

where $\alpha \not< \beta$ holds when neither $\alpha < \beta$ nor $\alpha = \beta$. This means $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$, $\alpha_3 < \alpha_4$, $\alpha_5 < \alpha_6$, $\alpha_7 < \alpha_8, \dots$ and $\alpha_3 \not< \alpha_1$, $\alpha_5 \not< \alpha_3$, $\alpha_5 \not< \alpha_1, \dots$.

We may observe that the above conditions imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &< \alpha_2, \alpha_1 < \alpha_4, \\ \alpha_3 &< \alpha_4, \alpha_3 < \alpha_6, \\ \alpha_5 &< \alpha_6, \alpha_5 < \alpha_8, \\ \alpha_7 &< \alpha_8, \alpha_7 < \alpha_{10}, \text{ and so on.} \end{aligned}$$

We define **index** of the point x given above to be α_{2n-1} , **order** of x to be α_{2n} and **length** of x to be n .

Let us illustrate a few begining sets X_α , $\alpha \in \Omega$

- (i) $x \in X_0$, means $x = (0, \alpha)$ where $\alpha > 0$.

- (ii) $x \in X_1$, means the point x can be one of the following types
 $x = (1, \alpha)$ where $\alpha > 1$, or
 $x = (0, \alpha, 1, \beta)$ where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 1$.
- (iii) $x \in X_2$, means the point x can be one of the following types
 $x = (2, \alpha)$ where $\alpha > 2$, or
 $x = (0, \alpha, 2, \beta)$ where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 2$ or
 $x = (1, \alpha, 2, \beta)$ where $\alpha > 1$ and $\beta > 2$ or
 $x = (0, \alpha, 1, \beta, 2, \gamma)$ where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 1$ and $\gamma > 2$

Note that all the points defined above have index 2. Similarly we can check the elements of X_3 as follows:

- (iv) $x \in X_3$ means x is one of the following types
 $x = (3, \alpha)$ where $\alpha > 3$ or
 $x = (0, \alpha, 3, \beta)$ where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 3$ or
 $x = (1, \alpha, 3, \beta)$ where $\alpha > 1$ and $\beta > 3$ or
 $x = (2, \alpha, 3, \beta)$ where $\alpha > 2$ and $\beta > 3$ or
 $x = (0, \alpha, 1, \beta, 3, \gamma)$ where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 1$ and $\gamma > 3$ or
 $x = (0, \alpha, 2, \beta, 3, \gamma)$ where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 2$ and $\gamma > 3$ or
 $x = (1, \alpha, 2, \beta, 3, \gamma)$ where $\alpha > 1$ and $\beta > 2$ and $\gamma > 3$ or
 $x = (0, \alpha, 1, \beta, 2, \gamma, 3, \delta)$ where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 1$ and $\gamma > 2$ and $\delta > 3$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Omega$.

Thus we have a family of nonempty disjoint sets X_α , $\alpha \in \Omega$, whose elements are the points with index α .

We now define the bonding maps $f_\alpha^\beta : X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \leq \beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$. Let $x = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2n-1}, \alpha_{2n})$ be an arbitrary point in X_β (so that $\alpha_{2n-1} = \beta$). We define the image of x in X_α under f_α^β as follows:

There are two cases :

Case I: If $\alpha \leq \alpha_1$, then we define $f_\alpha^\beta(x) = (\alpha, \alpha_2)$ and since x is a point in X_β , $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ by condition (i) which implies $\alpha < \alpha_2$. Therefore (α, α_2) is a point with index α . Hence $(\alpha, \alpha_2) \in X_\alpha$.

Case II: If $\alpha \not\leq \alpha_1$ then there exist a least j , $0 < j < 2n - 1$ such that $\alpha < \alpha_{2j+1}$ because $\alpha < \beta = \alpha_{2n-1}$. Then we define

$$f_\alpha^\beta(x) = f_\alpha^\beta(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2n-1}, \alpha_{2n}) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2j}, \alpha, \alpha_{2j+2}).$$

Clearly, $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2j}, \alpha, \alpha_{2j+2})$ satisfies all the three conditions of a point as it is only a subsequence of the point x . Also $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2j}, \alpha, \alpha_{2j+2})$ has index α hence $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2j}, \alpha, \alpha_{2j+2}) \in X_\alpha$.

Note that in particular, the map $f_2^3 : X_3 \rightarrow X_2$, will be as follows.

$(3, \alpha) \mapsto (2, \alpha) \in X_2$ (Case I)
 $(0, \alpha, 3, \beta) \mapsto (0, \alpha, 2, \beta) \in X_2$
 $(1, \alpha, 3, \beta) \mapsto (1, \alpha, 2, \beta) \in X_2$
 $(2, \alpha, 3, \beta) \mapsto (2, \alpha) \in X_2$ (Case I)
 $(0, \alpha, 1, \beta, 3, \gamma) \mapsto (0, \alpha, 1, \beta, 2, \gamma) \in X_2$
 $(0, \alpha, 2, \beta, 3, \gamma) \mapsto (0, \alpha, 2, \beta) \in X_2$
 $(1, \alpha, 2, \beta, 3, \gamma) \mapsto (1, \alpha, 2, \beta) \in X_2$
 $(0, \alpha, 1, \beta, 2, \gamma, 3, \delta) \mapsto (0, \alpha, 1, \beta, 2, \gamma) \in X_2$.
 All others are as in Case II.

Having defined f_α^β , $\alpha \leq \beta$, let us note the following obvious properties of these bonding maps:

- (i). $f_\alpha^\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$ is identity.
- (ii). Let $f_\alpha^\beta : X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$ and $f_\beta^\gamma : X_\gamma \rightarrow X_\beta$, $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega$ be two bonding maps. Then

$$f_\alpha^\beta \circ f_\beta^\gamma = f_\alpha^\gamma : X_\gamma \rightarrow X_\alpha.$$

Let us verify a specific example of (ii): Let $f_4^6 : X_6 \rightarrow X_4$ and $f_3^4 : X_4 \rightarrow X_3$ be the two bonding maps. We want to verify

$$f_3^4 f_4^6 = f_3^6.$$

For this we choose an arbitrary element of X_6 say,
 $x = (0, \alpha, 1, \beta, 3, \gamma, 5, \delta, 6, \omega) \in X_6$, where $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 1$, $\gamma > 3$, $\delta > 5$, and $\omega > 6$. Then by the definition of f_α^β we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_4^6(x) &= (0, \alpha, 1, \beta, 3, \gamma, 5, \delta) = y, \text{ say and} \\
 f_3^4(y) &= (0, \alpha, 1, \beta, 3, \gamma). \text{ Also,} \\
 f_3^6(x) &= f_3^6(0, \alpha, 1, \beta, 3, \gamma, 5, \delta, 6, \omega) = (0, \alpha, 1, \beta, 3, \gamma).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } f_3^4 f_4^6 = f_3^6.$$

Thus we have the following inverse system $\{X_\alpha, f_\alpha^\beta; \alpha \leq \beta, \alpha, \beta \in \Omega\}$ of sets and maps defined on the directed set Ω .

$$\cdots X_\gamma \xrightarrow{f_\beta^\gamma} X_\beta \xrightarrow{f_\alpha^\beta} X_\alpha \cdots X_2 \xrightarrow{f_1^2} X_1,$$

where $1 < 2 < \cdots < \alpha < \beta < \gamma$ and $1, 2, \cdots, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega$

Now we verify that the bonding maps $f_\alpha^\beta : X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \leq \beta$, are onto:

Let $x = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2n-1}, \alpha_{2n}) \in X_\alpha$ so that $\alpha = \alpha_{2n-1}$. We choose $\gamma > \beta$ and then consider the sequence of even number of elements from the directed set Ω and let $y = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2n}, \beta, \gamma)$. Since x is a subsequence of y and x is a point and also $\alpha_{2n-1} < \alpha < \beta < \gamma$, to prove that y is a point in X_β , it suffices to verify that $\beta \not\leq \alpha_{2j+1}$, $0 \leq j < n$. But if this is true that $\beta \leq \alpha_{2j+1}$, $0 \leq j < n$ will imply that $\alpha < \alpha_{2j+1}$ since $\alpha < \beta$, which is contradiction to the fact that $x = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2n-1}, \alpha_{2n})$ is a point. Thus $y = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2n}, \beta, \gamma)$ is a point and it is an element of X_β such that $f_\alpha^\beta(y) = x$ by the definition of f_α^β .

Let us see a particular example of ontoeness as follows :

Consider $f_3^5 : X_5 \rightarrow X_3$. We will show that there is preimage of all the elements of X_3 (all the 8 types as discussed earlier), is in X_5 . The preimage of any element of X_3 , $x = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2n-1}, \alpha_{2n})$ where $\alpha_{2n-1} = 3$ can be obtained by just introducing two more elements from the directed set Ω in point x as $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2n-1}, \alpha_{2n}, 5, \delta)$, $\delta > 5$. Thus we see

$$\begin{aligned} (f_3^5)^{-1}(x) &= (f_3^5)^{-1}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2n-1}, \alpha_{2n}) \\ &= (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2n-1}, \alpha_{2n}, 5, \delta) \\ &= y \in X_5 \end{aligned}$$

So, we have now an inverse mapping system based on Ω

$$\{X_\alpha, f_\alpha^\beta : X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha, \alpha \leq \beta, \alpha, \beta \in \Omega\},$$

in which each $X_\alpha \neq \phi$ and each $f_\alpha^\beta : X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \leq \beta$ is onto.

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

We claim that for the above inverse system $X, \varprojlim X_\alpha = \phi$.

Let us assume the contrary and suppose there exists an element x in the inverse limit $\varprojlim X_\alpha$. In other words, $x \in \prod X_\alpha$ where

$$x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_\omega, x_{\omega+1}, \dots, x_{2\omega}, x_{2\omega+1}, \dots), \quad x_i \in X_i \quad (*)$$

such that whenever $\alpha \leq \beta$, $f_\alpha^\beta(x_\beta) = x_\alpha$.

Let $\emptyset$ be the set of orders of these x_i 's in $(*)$. It is clear that this set $\emptyset$ is a cofinal set of Ω , since for any $\alpha \in \Omega$ there is a set X_α and since $x \in \prod X_\alpha$, the i -th co-ordinate in x is some element $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$. Since $\alpha = \text{index of } x_\alpha < \text{order of } x_\alpha \in \emptyset$, we find that $\emptyset$ is a cofinal set in Ω .

We also observe that if $\text{length of } x_\alpha = \text{length of } x_\beta$, then $\text{order of } x_\alpha = \text{order of } x_\beta$. To prove this we choose a $\gamma > \alpha$ and $\gamma > \beta$. Then there exists an element $x_\gamma \in X_\gamma$ in (*) such that $f_\alpha^\gamma(x_\gamma) = x_\alpha$ and $f_\beta^\gamma(x_\gamma) = x_\beta$. But from the definition of the bonding map f_α^β it follows that the orders of x_α and x_β are some element in the sequence x_γ , say $\text{order of } x_\alpha = \alpha_{2i}$ and $\text{order of } x_\beta = \alpha_{2j}$. Thus if the length of $x_\alpha = i = \text{length of } x_\beta = j$, then clearly, $\alpha_{2i} = \alpha_{2j}$ i.e., $\text{order of } x_\alpha = \text{order of } x_\beta$.

Therefore, the set of orders $\emptyset$ behaves according to the lengths of x_i in (*), and length of any point is a natural number.

Thus, if the lengths of x'_i s are unbounded then we will get a simple sequence of the orders of the elements x_i which will be cofinal. Hence there will exist a cofinal simple sequence in $\Omega = [0, \omega_1)$. But this is clearly a contradiction. On the other hand if the lengths of x'_i s are bounded, then the set of orders of x'_i s, i.e., $\emptyset$ will contain a maximal element of $\Omega = [0, \omega_1)$ which is again a contradiction. Hence, we find that in either of the two cases viz., when the lengths are unbounded or bounded we have a contradiction since it is well known that the set $\Omega = [0, \omega_1)$ neither possesses a simple cofinal sequence nor a maximal element. Hence there can not exist any element in the inverse limit, i.e., $\varprojlim X_\alpha = \emptyset$. ■

Remark 4.1. In view of the above construction, it is clear that one can always have an inverse system in any category (e.g., topological spaces and continuous functions or modules and module homomorphisms etc.) admitting arbitrary products with nonempty objects and onto bonding morphism whose inverse limit can be empty.

REFERENCES

- [1] JAMES DUGUNDJI, Topology. McGraw-Hill, (1966)
- [2] LEON HENKIN, A problem on inverse mapping systems. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **1**, (1950). 224–225.
- [3] N. E. STEENROD AND S. EILENBERG, Foundations of Algebraic Topology. Princeton Univ Press, (1952).

Satya Deo,
 Harish Chandra Research Institute,
 Chhatnag Road, Jhusi,
 Allahabad 211 019, India.
 Email: sdeo@mri.ernet.in, vcsdeo@yahoo.com

Veerendra Vikram Awasthi,
 Institute of Mathematical Sciences,

CIT Campus, Taramani

Chennai 600 013, India.

Email: vvawasthi@imsc.res.in, vvawasthi@yahoo.com